

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
 CONFIRMATION NO.

 10/057,503
 01/25/2002
 David C. Issler
 10294-607001
 4327

 7590
 01/09/2006
 EXAMINER

 ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSON & REENS LLC
 STASHICK, ANTHONY D

986 BEDFORD STREET STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619

3728

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\mathcal{M}	
	App	olication No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		057,503	ISSLER, DAVID C.		
		miner	Art Unit		
		hony Stashick	3728		
The MAILING DATE of this c Period for Reply	ommunication appears	on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	ress —	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply within the set or extended perion any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	THE MAILING DATE (provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). It this communication. aximum statutory period will appl d for reply will, by statute, cause months after the mailing date o	OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may a ly and will expire SIX (6) MO the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) Responsive to communicatio	n(s) filed on 06 Octobe	er 2005.			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-14,16-22,24,25 ar</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s)					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed		on consideration.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16-22,24,25 and 27-31</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte					
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or elec	ction requirement.			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected t	o by the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that a	ny objection to the drawing	ng(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) i 11) The oath or declaration is obj			•		
	soled to by the Examin	or. Note the attack		, 102.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		:td 05 11 0 0	C 440(a) (d) as (6)		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
*	ternational Bureau (PC				
* See the attached detailed Office	•	* **	t received.		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Paviani (DTO 040)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		_	Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)	

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 6, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 7, 18, 28, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Truelsen 5,079,856. Truelsen "856 discloses all the limitations of the claims including the following: an outsole assembly 24 having a heel region with an inner surface (see Figure 3, heel region shown having an inner surface that 23 is placed upon), an outer surface adapted to be in contact with a walking surface (that portion of 24 that makes contact with the ground) and an opening 12 extending from the inner surface to the outer surface (see Figure 3); a footbed 23 positioned over an area of the inner surface of the heel region (see Figure 3); a plug 2 combined with the footbed (see Figure 3) to provide a single unit and placed in the opening (see Figure 3) for enhancing comfort; the opening is unobstructed in a location at least partially defined by a

Art Unit: 3728

bottom perimeter of the plug and a distance between the bottom perimeter and the outer surface (see Figure 3, nothing located between the bottom of the plug and the outer surface of 24); the opening is positioned in a heel region of the inner surface of the heel region (see Figure 3, plug located in the heel region); providing a heel region having an inner surface and an outer surface adapted to be in contact with a walking surface (see Figure 3); extending an opening from the inner surface to the outer surface (see Figure 3); positioning a footbed over an area of the inner surface of the heel region (see positioning of 2 in Figure 3); placing a plug in the opening for enhancing comfort (see plug 2 in Figure 3); removing obstructions from the opening in a location at least partially defined by a bottom perimeter and the outer surface (note the area under 2 in Figure 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-4, 19-20 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Truelsen 5,079,856 as applied above in view of McClelland 5,775,005. Truelsen '856 as applied above discloses all the limitations substantially as claimed except for the hardness characteristics of the outsole and the footbed. McClelland '005 teaches that the footbed of a shoe can be formed of a material having a first hardness that is less than an outsole material having a second hardness (pad 22 is formed of EVA or other flexible resilient materials while outsole body 24 is

Art Unit: 3728

manufactured from an opaque natural or synthetic resin that is durable and oil resistant) and that the plug can be formed of a material having a first hardness characteristic that is less than an outsole material having a second hardness characteristic (see above). The footbed and the plug are formed of a material having substantially the same hardness characteristic (footbed and plug both are formed of resilient material. Further more, McClelland '005 teaches forming the footbed 22 using a material having a first hardness characteristic that is less than an outsole material having a second hardness characteristic (see descriptions of outsole 24 and footbed 22); forming a plug 30 using a material having a first hardness characteristic that is less than an outsole 24 material having a second characteristic (see descriptions of outsole 24 and plug 30); the footbed is formed of a material having a first hardness characteristic that is less than an outsole material having a second hardness characteristic (see descriptions of footbed 22 and outsole. The purpose of these hardness characteristics is to aid in cushioning the impact of the user's foot with the ground while allowing for long wear of the sole. Therefore, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in that art at the time the invention was made, to make the footbed material of Truelsen '856 out of a material of lesser hardness than the outsole to allow for the outsole to resist wear and tear while allowing the user's foot to be cushioned during impacts of the user's foot with the ground.

6. Claims 5, 6, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Truelsen 5,079,856 as applied above in view of Design Choice. Truelsen '856 as applied above discloses all the limitations substantially as claimed except for the specific hardness of the material used for the outsole, footbed and plug. It appears that it would have been a mere matter of design choice to choose the hardness of the material to be used for the footbed, plug and

Application/Control Number: 10/057,503

Art Unit: 3728

outsole to meet the desired function of cushioning the user's foot upon impact and durability of the sole. Therefore, it would have been obvious, and well within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to make the footbed, plug and outsole out of a material made of any desired hardness to aid in cushioning the user's foot and preventing wear of the outsole as desired.

Page 5

Claims 8-14, 16-17, 24-25 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 7. unpatentable over Truelsen 5,079,856 as applied to claims 1, 18 and 28 as applied above in view of Lober 844,882. Truelsen '856 discloses all the limitations of the claims except for the plug having a cap section and a pedestal with a lip being formed by the cap, the plug having first and second protrusions with different peripheries with the second protrusion being attached to the first protrusion and having a periphery larger than the periphery of the first protrusion. Lober '882 teaches that a plug depending from the bottom surface of a footbed and fitted within the opening of a sole can have a pedestal b and cap b' with the cap forming a lip extending past the perimeter of the pedestal. The lip of the cap preventing accidental removal of the plug from the opening of the sole. Therefore, it would have been obvious to make the plug of Truelsen '856 as applied to claims 1, 18 and 28 above with a pedestal and cap, as taught by Lober '882, to aid in holding the plug in the opening and prevent accidental removal of the plug from the opening. With respect to the perimeters of the cap and pedestal, Lober '882 meets these limitations in as much as that which is shown and disclosed by the applicant. With respect to claim 29, Lober '882 teaches that a plug can depend from the bottom surface of a footbed and be fitted within the opening of a sole; the plug can have a pedestal (first protrusion b) and cap (second protrusion b') with the cap forming a lip extending past the perimeter of the pedestal. The lip of the cap

Art Unit: 3728

prevents accidental removal of the plug from the opening of the sole. Therefore, it would have been obvious to make the plug of Truelsen '856, as applied to claim 28 above, with a pedestal, as taught by Lober '882, to aid in holding the plug in the opening and preventing accidental removal of the plug from the opening.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and are cited on form 892 enclosed herewith.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Stashick whose telephone number is 571-272-4561. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3728

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony Stashick Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

ADS